To request a Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR), please complete and sign this request sheet and return it to your Office of Local Assistance (OLA) representative at the address below, along with any additional information requested by OLA staff. When all documentation has been received, your OLA representative will work with you to prepare for your appearance before the Board. If you have any questions about this process, please call (916) 341-6199 to be connected to your OLA representative.

Mail completed documents to:

California Integrated Waste Management Board Office of Local Assistance, (MS 25) 1001 | Street PO Box 4025 Sacramento CA 95812-4025

General Instructions:

For a Time Extension complete Sections I, II, III-A, IV-A, and V.

For an Alternative Diversion Requirement complete Sections I, II, III-B, IV-B and V.

Section I: Jurisdiction In All respondents must complete to			n		
I certify under penalty of perj and that I am authorized to π	ury that the	e information in this entification on behalf	document is true and of:	i correct to	the best of my knowledge,
Jurisdiction Name			County		
Beil			Los Angeles		
Authorized Signature			Title		11/2
			Chief Administrative Of	iceL	
Type/Print Name of Person Signing		Date		Phone	
Robert A. Rizzo		April 6, 2004		(323) 588-(5211
Person Completing This Form (pleas	se print or typ	pe)	Title		
J. Michael Huls			Huls Environmental Ma	nagement, L	LĊ
Phone		E-mail Address		Fax	
(626)332-7514		michael@hulsenv.com		(626)332-7	504
Mailing Address	City		State		ZIP Code
6330 Pine Avenue	Bell .		California		90201

Section II—Cover Sheet
This cover sheet is to be completed for each Time Extension (TE) or Alternative Diversion Requirement (ADR) requested.
1. Eligibility Has your jurisdiction filed its Source Reduction and Recycling Element, Household Hazardous Waste Element, and Nondisposal Facility Element with the Board (must have been filed by July 1, 1998 if you are requesting an ADR)?
No. If no, stop; not eligible for a TE or ADR.
Yes. If yes, then eligible for a TE or ADR.
2. Specific Request and Length of Request
Please specify the request desired.
☐ Time Extension Request
Specific years requested
Is this a second request? No Specific years requested2004-05
Alternative Diversion Requirement Request (Not allowed for Regional Agencies).
Specific ADR requested%, for the years
Is this a second ADR request? No Yes Specific ADR requested%, for the years
(Note: Requests for an additional ADR will need to address why the jurisdiction's efforts to meet 50% by the end of the first ADR period were not successful.)
Note: Extensions may be requested anytime by a jurisdiction, but will only be effective in the years from January 1, 2000 to January 1, 2006. An original request for a TE/ADR may be granted for any period up to three years and subsequent requests for TE/ADR may extend the original request or be based on new circumstances but the total number of years for all requests cannot total more than five years or extend beyond January 1, 2006.
1

Section IIIA—TIME EXTENSION

Within this section, discuss your jurisdiction's progress in implementing diversion programs that were planned to achieve 50%. Provide any additional information that demonstrates "good faith effort." The CIWMB shall determine your jurisdiction's progress in demonstrating "good faith effort" towards complying with AB 939. Note: The answers to each question should be comprehensive and provide specific details regarding the jurisdiction's situation.

Attach additional sheets if necessary—please reference each response to the appropriate cell number (e.g., IIIA-1).

- 1. Why does your jurisdiction need more time to meet the 50% goal? Describe why SRRE selected programs did not achieve 50% diversion. Identify barriers to meeting the 50% goal and briefly indicate how they will be overcome.
- The City's SRRE and Time Extension programs were implemented but did not yield desired diversion. Several initiatives were identified for hauler, but business and community response to voluntary effort was lacking. Critical bariers included lack of incentives (awards were insufficient), language (community is heavily Hispanic), demographics (many undocumented residents who are afraid to participate), and difficulty in setting up commercial recycling due to small size of businesses. TE did not focus on multi-family as well that is 50%. City will develop fiscal incentive, and set up new mandatory separation and MRF-based processing programs to overcome the barriers.
- 2. Why does your jurisdiction need the amount of time requested? Describe any relevant circumstances in the jurisdiction that contribute to the need for a Time Extension.
- We need additional time to develop and implement the proposed program initiatives focusing on roll out of mandatory commercial and multi-family residential programs. We require 1/2 year to develop our funding mechanism and acquire sufficient funds to procure equipment and services (by December 31, 2004); about 1/2 year to implement a source separation program for multi-family residences and small businesses (by September 3, 2004); and 1/2 year to implement a post collection waste processing program (by December 31, 2004). The critical factor is financing, and the need to properly develop and implement a sustainable program.
- Describe your jurisdiction's Good Faith Efforts to implement the programs in its SRRE.

The PARIS report documents the good faith efforts of the City to implement its programs as identified in the SRRE. To summarize major programming, the City implemented single family residential automated trash collection, recycling, and green waste diversion programs. The City has implemented a voluntary-based commercial sector program as required by the SRRE and TE. However, due to externalities of erroneous disposal system reporting and an influx of undocumented residents into the multi-family sector, the programming for that sector is inadequate, so the new and upgraded programming is proposed for this second TE. As identified in the first TE, the City is engaged in extensive outreach and education and development of incentive programs. Among the most critical is the imposition of a hefty surcharge on the MFR sector; this should have a significant effect on increasing recycling in what is likely the largest sector of waste generation in the City.

4. Provide any additional relevant information that supports the request.

The City, like many others, has focused most of its attention on single family residential and voluntary commercial sector programs. As better and better information has been developed to accurately identify the scope and nature the of commercial and industrial waste sector (68% of the City's wastestream of which half is multi-family waste), the City now understands that it must target more effort and attention on multi-family residential to reach and sustain the 50% diversion level. Our proposed TE program, along with judicious application of DRS deductions and closer and more frequent examination of landfill reports, will enable us to reverse our trend of a declining diversion rate and reach the goal of 50% diversion by the end of 2005.

Section IV A—PLAN OF CORRECTION

A Plan of Correction is required by PRC Section 41820(a)(6)(B). The plan is fundamentally a description of the actions the jurisdiction will take to meet the 50% goal by the expiration of the Time Extension.

Attach additional sheets if necessary.

Residenti	iai % 		32	Non-res	idential %		68
PROGRAM TYPE Please use the Board's Program Types. The Program Glossary is online at:	NEW or EXPAND		DESCRIPTION O	F PROGRAM	FUNDING SOURCE	DATE FULI COMPLETE	
www.ciwmb.ca.gov/ LGCentral/PARIS/Codes/ Reduce.htm							
8000-TR-WTE	Expand	tons pe facility i expand grow di	er day of the City's refus in December 2003. Thi led as the City's waste:		Bin surcharge	Effective immediately (January 1, 2004)	9
2030-RC-OSP	New	cart rec comple automa recyabl this pro measur	cycling program at all ap exes and small business ated barrels for recycling	es that can utilize g of commingled lly monitor the results of degree of success as	Bin surcharge	By Septembe 3, 2004	er 2
2030-RC-OSP 7000-FR-MRF	New	process months the six- FR-MR	sing of the commercial after 2030-RC-OSP is month staging between	implement mixed refuse waste stream about six initiated. The reason for 2030-RC-OSP and 7000-quate financial resources program sustainable.	Bin surcharge	By December 31, 2004	er 13
			•				
		T		ion Percent From New a	-	24	
			Current Diversion	on Rate Percent From La	test Annual Ro	eport	26
- 11 E 11 I			Total Pl	anned Diversion Percent	Estimated		50

PROGRAM TYPE	NEW or EXPANDED	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM	DATE FULLY COMPLETED December 31, 2004 December 31, 2004	
6010-PI-EIN	New	City will levy a new surcharge on multi-family residential bin accounts to pay for selected aspects of operational program. This fee will act also as a disincentive to wastage and likely will encourage MFR residents to recycle.		
6020-Pi-ORD	New	City will enact two ordinances. One ordinance will create funding mechanism and requirements for businesses and multi-family residents to participate in new recycling programs. City will also enact a new ordinance mandating the diversion of construction and demolition debris.		